



RUSA Minutes December 6th, 2018

7:30pm @ Student Activities Center

Statements are paraphrased and consolidated for the Minutes

All legislation contained in the minutes may be in its original form and may not be the final language.

Visual/Audio record available on official RUSA Facebook page

1. Call to Order
 - a. @7:50pm
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Approval of Agenda
 - a. Motion by Meet Patel, Off Campus Senator, to add *Resolution to Recognize Scarlet Knight Women's Basketball Coach Charlaine Vivian Stringer* to agenda
 - i. **None Opposed, Resolution Passed**
4. Approval of Prior Meeting's Minutes
 - a. None Opposed
5. Officer Report
 - a. Updates can be found on the Assembly website, ruassembly.com
6. Legislation
 - a. Resolution for RUSA to Support University of Pittsburgh's Efforts for Common Sense Gun Legislation
 - i. Ryed Jadun, Asian Student Council
 1. Where can we find the actual letter?
 - a. If you open up the legislation, the actual letter can be found in one of the sources.
 - ii. Motion by Jeff Zhang, RBGA Representative, to strike out the the last clause of the proposed legislation.

"Public notice of this meeting has been given to all undergraduate students requesting such notice. Please speak to the Secretary of the Assembly after this meeting to learn more about how you can join the email list to receive a 24 hour meeting notice and a copy of the Agenda."

1. None Opposed
- iii. Motion by Nick Tharney, to enter standard debate procedure.

1. None Opposed

a. IN SUPPORT OF STRIKING OUT

- i. Dominique Little, Livingston Senator

1. It is important that we support our peers in their efforts for safer gun control laws.

- ii. Jeff Zhang, RBGA Representative

1. RUSA has never officially taken a stance on national issues such as gun control. If we accept the last clause, RUSA is taking a position when we should be a non-partisan organization.

b. AGAINST STRIKING OUT

- i. Julie Serrano, SAS Senate Leader

1. If we sign the open letter, we are signing for what's advocated in the letter. Whether the last clause is in the legislation or not, we're still supporting it by signing it.

- ii. Adeel Ahmed, SAS Senator

1. Everything in life is political. I believe that people should be subject to a mandatory background check. The last clause in this legislation is the definition of what common sense gun laws are.

- iv. Motion by Jeff Zhang, to go into a 3 minute recess.

1. 2 Opposed

a. Passed (iClicker 1)(25-15-04)

v. Motion by George Zapata, LSC Representative, to call the amendment into question

1. 1 Opposed

a. Motion Passed (iClicker 2)(30-17)

b. Accepting Amendment Fails (iClicker 3)(21-25-2)

c. *IN SUPPORT OF AMENDMENT*

i. Emily Kane, Douglas Senator

1. I feel very strongly about passing this bill. We should not be waiting for tragedies to happen for us to support something. Gun control is a national issue, not just a Pittsburgh issue. If this issue were happening to us, we would want all student bodies across the nation to help us as well. We are not just representing Rutgers students, but college students.

ii. Adeel Ahmed, SAS Senator

1. Everyone in the room here is a leader. We want to show that we are vocal on issue. I believe that we should pass this entire letter to show our support with the letter. Look at how vocal governor Murphy has been in regards to gun control!

d. *AGAINST AMENDMENT*

i. Alex Anderson, Internal Affairs Chair

1. I'm afraid that with the second clause we are not representing the entire student body here. We have never done this as RUSA

before. I do support the letter, just not the second clause.

ii. Jeff Zhang, RBGA Representative

1. If we pass this legislation, we will lose credibility amongst those who believe in us. We are not talking about guns here, we are talking about how a body should act-- legislators or activists.

vi. Motion by Hinita Patel, Health and Wellness Chair, for a 5 minute recess

1. 1 Opposed

a. Motion Fails (iClicker 4)(18-29-1-1)

vii. Motion by Julie Serrano, SAS Senator, for a 3 minute recess

1. 1 Opposed

a. Motion Passed (iClicker 5)(24-20-1)

viii. Motion by Chanel Jordan, RHA Representative, for moderated caucus

1. *6 speaker, 1 minute speaking time each*

2. Motion Passed (iClicker 6)(23-19)

a. Dominique Little, University Affairs Chair

- i. This is a very serious piece of legislation. I am in support of all of this. Remember what you are actually voting on. RUSA does take stances sometimes, but is important that we speak for all students, not just Rutgers students.

b. Meet Patel, Off Campus Senator

- i. I support this resolution as it is because it is time that we support our friends. Rutgers shields us from the outside world as 3 people were recently shot in New Brunswick. We must end the gunshow loophole and the federal banning of bumpstocks.

- c. Sebastian Romero, Off Campus Representative
 - i. The first school shooting I can remember was in Virginia Tech. The notions of spending time to research this and spending time to talk about it is well intentioned, but the time is beyond gone for us to just sit and talk about it. All of these shootings and part of American culture at this point and I feel as though if we do not move towards meaningful action now, when are we ever gonna do it?
- d. Hinita Patel, Health and Wellness Chair
 - i. I support common sense gun legislation however I do not support the last be it hereby resolved clause. I don't think that Rutgers as a whole should take a stance on this because we are non-partisan organization. We do not have all the relevant information.
- e. Ase Awari, CA At-Large Representative
 - i. I oppose this legislation because the second be it hereby resolved clause clearly shows that RUSA is taking a stance on something. We are a non-partisan organization so we cannot speak on behalf of everyone if not everyone believes it.
- f. Nicholas Labelle, Cook At-Large Representative
 - i. This is not a partisan issue, this is a people issue. Over 85% of people in America support common sense gun laws. Majority of that 85% is from the NRA, who are the most pro-gun organization out there. We have the numbers, we have the facts, therefore we should have the right to vote.

- ix. Motion by Alex Anderson, Douglas 2020 Representative, to accept proposed amendment
 - 1. 3 Opposed
- x. Motion by Jason Yu, RBGA Representative, to call the amendment into question
 - 1. **Motion Fails (iClicker 7)(22-26-0-01)**
- xi. Motion by Neeharika Thuravil, Busch Senator, to enter standard debate procedure on the proposed amendment

1. IN SUPPORT OF AMENDMENT

- a. Dominique Little, University Affairs Chair
 - i. I love this amendment because it does figure out the best of both worlds. I wanted to vote on this bill but I know that not all students have the same stance on this national issue. This is a people issue.
- b. Logan Adams, Off-Campus Representative
 - i. I find that this amendment is a great compromise. I feel as though we must take in the opinions of our constituents, and so this proposed amendment is a great compromise.

2. AGAINST PROPOSED AMENDMENT

- a. Neeharika Thuravil, Busch Senator
 - i. We were elected because we are here to represent those who voted for us. If you believe in these things as individual, you should be voting on them because you represent them.
- b. Julie Serrano, SAS Senate Leader
 - i. Us signing this letter is us signing UPitt. I want to let you guys know that UPitt has helped us with one

of our initiatives before. We should be supporting their efforts just as how they supported us.

- xii. Motion by Hinita Patel, for a 3 minute recess
 - 1. 2 Opposed
 - 2. **Motion Fails (iClicker 8)(11-35-1)**
- xiii. Motion by Jason Yu, RBGA Representative, to call the amendment into question
 - 1. **Amendment Fails (iClicker 8)(17-26)**
- xiv. Motion by Adeel Ahmed, SAS Senator, to call the resolution into question
 - 1. None Opposed
 - 2. **Resolution Passed(iClicker 9)(35-9-2)**
- xv. Motion by Jess Tuazon, Vice President, for a 2 minute recess
 - 1. 2 Opposed
 - 2. **Motion Fails(iClicker 10)(16-22)**
- b. Bill to Amend the RUSA Allocations Process Transparency Act
 - i. Nick Tharney, Parliamentarian
 - 1. As part of the Allocations Transparency Act, one of the requirements was a joint effort between allocations and. Other schools are far more transparent with their funding.
 - a. Other schools do make a CAP sheet for their student organizations. It is true that other schools have these numbers released.
 - ii. Ryed Jadun, ASC Representative
 - 1. Can you elaborate on what other steps can be taken?
 - a. The real concern we've had of releasing our numbers is that student organizations would be able to request maximum funding. If all organizations do that, then funding that can be allocated to other organizations will become limited.

There are other mechanisms that can accomplish the same goal.

iii. Jason Yu, RBGA Representative

1. If section 5 was not repealed, what would you do?

- a. We would have until approximately March to come up with a new approach. There are several options such as stopping the use of CAPS sheets, to not take any action, or to adapt to the CAP sheets in some way.

iv. Nick Labelle, Cook Representative

1. Can you state that most groups apply for maximum amounts as is?

- a. In our last round of budgeting, we were looking out for evidence if any groups had access and were hitting the caps. There were two budgets that we pulled aside for slight suspicion that we will be pulling aside for an audit process.

v. Nick Tharney, Parliamentarian

1. The original legislation was passed in the Spring. During the presentation of the budget, one of the questions I asked was what steps has the Allocations board taken thus far, to which the response was none.

- a. At this point, we have frameworks for how to move forward. We have had discussion about the CAPS sheet.

vi. Jess Tuazon, Vice President

1. For those who have not read the report for the funding process for the BIG 10, can you give a short overview?

- a. Like I mentioned, there is a wide range of things other schools have done. In terms of schools that have more transparent processes, schools like the University of Iowa

have a much more transparent CAP sheet. It seems to be working for the most part for most of these universities.

vii. Mahmoud Ajaj, Livingston At-Large Representative

1. I am very open to transparency, so I would like to ask if you would you be open to releasing these reports 1 year later?
 - a. Yes, we would be open.

viii. Nick Labelle, Cook Representative

1. If this bill fails,
 - a. There will be Fall 2019 budgeting.

ix. Nick Tharney, Parliamentarian

1. There were leaked CAP sheets from a couple years ago. Do you think that organizations could derive knowledge from these sheets?
 - a. They are not all the same every year, but from time to time, they can be slightly derivative. The biggest cause of takebacks was because of groups not doing events.

x. Priti Kantesaria, Treasurer

1. How will the documents help student organizations better understanding the budgeting process?
 - a. There are evaluation sheets and documents that pertain to. I feel that if they were to be released, it would be really hard to differentiate between groups. They would appeal to what we're looking for

xi. Aarushi Govil, EGC Representative

1. If someone sees the CAP and then requests the CAP, do you necessarily have to grant it?
 - a. Our fear with it is that when organizations see these sheets, they will all try to appeal to what we're looking for rather than honestly appealing.

xii. Nimra Jacob, Off-Campus Representative

1. With SABO, we do not keep track of the money. Who keeps track of the money for allocation?

a. Students can't access more money than they've spent in an event. We conducted a project last year to see how many groups that had over 1,000 left in their budget. We're taking the necessary steps to understand why

c. Motion by Alex Anderson, Internal Affairs Chair, to hear the amendment on the floor

1. None Opposed

2. I have this amendment to get rid of the appeal aspect of this legislation. I, as the Internal Affairs Chair, do not feel as though we have enough information to make a decision.

d. Motion to enter standard debate procedure

i. SUPPORT

1. Alex Anderson, Internal Affairs Chair

a. I feel that I do not know as much information as I should know. I want RUSA Allocations to look into this and we should be able to investigate this matter further. This is a pretty big deal in terms of how we do our Allocations funding.

2. Nick Tharney, Parliamentarian

a. I am in favor of this resolution because this is the best solution. Given this affects about 1.2 million dollars of our student fee money, this is a very big issue. I think that the problem is what is the impact of releasing the actual CAP sheets. Having IA investigate would allow us our assembly to know what exactly it is that we're voting on. If all of the

allocations we've made using this system comes back,
we're going

ii. AGAINST

1. Priti Kantesaria, Treasurer

a. In regards to this amendment, we have spent a lot of time debating to come up with best solution to this. I don't think that pushing this off for another two months will make that much of a difference. We have done a comprehensive job thus far.

2. Nick Tharney, Parliamentarian

a. This has been an issue Allocations has been going back and forth on for a year now. Having the final vote in February will affect Allocations because we then won't have enough time. This isn't a debate for who is for and against transparency, it is a vote for the current funding process. We want to ensure the most fair and just allocation possible.

iii. Motion by Davin Tan, CA At-Large Representative, to ask if an actual auditing firm been has been investigating Allocations funding

1. No, there has never been an actual auditing firm. We have our own auditor who is responsible for the organizations we fund.

iv. Motion by Adeel Ahmed, SAS Senator, for a 3 minute recess

1. Motion Failed (iClicker 12)(10-28-2)

v. Motion by Jason Yu, RBGA Representative, to call the amendment into question

1. 2 Opposed

a. Motion Passed (iClicker 13)(30-11-1-1)

b. Amendment Passed (iClicker 14)(25-6-2)

vi. Motion by Ase Awari, CA At-Large Representative, to suspend the rules and have Jhanvi speak and have a role call vote

1. Motion suspended (iClicker 1-2)(20-20)

vii. Motion by Jeff Zhang, to call the entire bill into question

1. 2 Opposed

a. Motion Fails (iClicker 2-2)(26-18)

viii. Motion by Neeharika Thuravil, Busch Senator, to enter standard debate procedure

1. IN SUPPORT OF ENTIRE BILL

a. Priti Kantesaria, Treasurer

i. Speaking from what we have, think about the different orgs and their treasurers. If given these cap sheets, how would they respond? In general, having further review by IA and RUSA will possibly come to fruition for the entire funding process. February 15th is barely a month before we start our new process.

b. Brielle, Allocations

i. As the bill is now, all of the Allocations board can agree that we will work with IA to come to agreement. It is important so that we can keep our funding the best we can. We will present to you again in February so that you will all better understand.

2. IN AGAINST OF ENTIRE BILL

a. Nick Tharney, Parliamentarian

i. I spoke to those specifically who felt as though they didnt have the information. If you have read the report, there are many concerns with transparency.

There must be some amount of accountability and if someone challenges that in court, there could be a funding crisis. It is also worth noting that there is an inequality with access of information. I strongly urge students to vote in favor of transparency.

b. Nick Labelle, Cook At-Large Representative

- i. I am against passing the bill because we have essentially neutered the bill. This bill effectively has no tangible purpose now. The Allocations now has less time to function.

ix. Motion by Hinita Patel, Health and Wellness Chair, for 5 minute unmoderated caucus

1. 3 Opposed

a. Motion fails (iClicker3-2)(16-25-0-1)

x. Motion by Adeel Ahmed, SAS Senator, to call the entire bill into question

1. 5 Opposed

a. Motion passes (iClicker4-2)(31-11-2)

b. Bill Rejected (iClicker5-2)(19-20-5)

xi. Motion to reconsider the entire bill

1. None Opposed

a. Motion Passed (iClicker1-3)(27-17-1)

xii. Motion by Sebastian Romero, Off-Campus Representative, for debate procedure, 2 speakers

xiii. Motion to call into question

1. 2 Opposed

a. Motion Passed (iClicker2-3)(36-8-1)

xiv. Motion by Nick Tharney, Parliamentarian, for a role call vote

1. 1 Opposed

a. Motion (iClicker3-3)(13-30-2)

b. Bill Passed (iClicker4-3)(26-17-2-1)

e. Resolution to Support and Promote the University Locker Initiative

i. Ryed Jadun, ASC Representative

1. How many lockers will there be?

- a. There will be lockers placed in a row on both College Ave and Cook Douglas. There will be many in a row.

ii. Motion by Nick Tharney, Parliamentarian, to make an amendment

1. 3 Opposed

2. SUPPORT THE AMENDMENT

a. Adeel Ahmed, SAS Senator

- i. It's a fact that there's a difference between off campus and commuters. This is a simple non-complicated amendment. Vote for this amendment because it's the FACT, otherwise it would be fake news.

b. Neeharika Thuravil, Busch Senator

- i. This is the most minor of distinctions. It matters because this is not correct.

3. AGAINST THE AMENDMENT

a. Julie Serrano, SAS Senate Leader

- i. The university says that 56% are commuters, therefore we should be passing what

b. Ryed Jadun, ASC Representative

- i. The annotation links to the exact wording, so we should have the exact wording. If there is anywhere else that says these two groups are lumped together, we should include it as an annotation.

iii. Motion to adopt the proposed amendment

1. None Opposed

a. Motion passed (iClicker 4-4)(25-13-2)

- iv. Motion by Julie Serrano, to adopt bill by unanimous Consent
 - 1. None Opposed

a. Unanimously Approved

- f. Resolution to Recognize Scarlet Knight Women's Basketball
Coach Charlaine Vivian Stringer

- i. Sebastian Romero, Off-Campus Representative
 - 1. Are there any concrete physical ways to recognize her?
 - a. Emily got a frame and we will use it to commemorate her.
- ii. Motion by Sebastian Romero, Off-Campus Representative, to pass the bill by unanimous consent

1. Unanimously Approved

7. Advisor Report

- a. Karima
 - i. Finals is next week and this is our last meeting. I want to invite you to our De-stress Fest, which is on monday december 10th from 3-5pm.
 - ii. I want you to invite you to a leadership converence on March 30th and we are looking for student ambassadors. I urge you to be a part of this, so please see me after this if you are interested.
 - iii. Please be sure to be respectful when your fellow students are battling it out on the floor.

8. External Representatives Report

- a. Ryed Jadun, ASC Rep
 - i. I think respect is really important and I also think there is a lot of bias put into some things. I wanted to thank Sooz for keeping things under control.
- b. Ilce Perez, BOT Rep
 - i. We had our BOT meeting today which was made public.

- ii. This year, the Rutgers foundation decided that they would raise for the student food pantry. The Rutgers foundation raised 3,000 for the food pantry.
- c. George Zapata, LSC Rep
 - i. On Thursday, December 13th, we are hosting Espira. It is an opportunity to get a massage and they will be there the entire day.
 - ii. On a personal note, I have unfortunately been involved with a lot of things in my life. It is important to not show hostility towards each other because we are all people.
- d. Moses Paul, EGC Rep
 - i. EGC is participating in the sustainable governance committee. As of right now, we have 4 representatives.
 - ii. For the food drive, we were able to raise more than 4,000 cans of food.
- e. Kira Harris, MGSGA Rep
 - i. This past week Mason Gross held a town hall with the Dean of Mason Gross. We are working on creating a new studio on Cook Douglas.
 - ii. Next week, Mason Gross will be dining with the deans.

9. Public Sector

- a. Neeharika Thuravil
 - i. We raised over \$15,000 for our charity.
 - ii. I have signed on for another event this summer. I was chosen to lead another group of Rutgers students for an event in Machu-Picchu. I need to raise a team, so please reach out to me if you are interested!
- b. Nick Tharney
 - i. Something was brought up about accountability. I think accountability is important. The reason why it would go into effect on December 31st was because it was going to be made public, so they had to work over the summer to revise everything. We don't need to wait until February to start

changing everything again. When we sponsored this legislation, there was nothing substantive.

- ii. The idea is that there should be transparency with how our student activity fees should be used.

c. Adeel Ahmed

- i. I agree with everything that Karima said today. Please don't forget what we learned from retreat and what we learned from the entire semester. It's not just RUSA, it's everyone.

d. April Niklaus

- i. We had our leadership retreat this past weekend and it was fantastic.
- ii. We confirmed our campaign against single use plastics.

e. Nick Labelle

- i. I will be performing an hour long play on Tuesday in German. If you come, I will give you cards. Message me if you want more information.
- ii. Thank you for being you!

f. Masser Ghannam

- i. Next Wednesday, Dec 12 at 9:30, 199 college ave, we will be having a charity event.

g. Jason Yu

- i. RHA will have a valentines ball next year, so if you want to ask your romantic partner out, this is a great event for that! I will give out more details for that later.

h. Paul Ziessler

- i. We are hashing our membership for this committee right now. I will get back to you with this as soon as possible.

i. Jeff Zhang

- i. I apologize I caused this whole mess. If you are going to Cook Douglas, I will give you a ride.

j. Neeharika Thuravil

i. It takes a lot of patience to conduct this meetings. This was the longest meeting we've had this semester. You can't demand people's love or respect-- it's earned. You give respect to earn respect.

k. Meet Patel

i. Everyone here has been an incredible role model here. I am so proud of everyone here!!

l. Jess

i. Enjoy the rest of your semester. Good luck on exams. And Happy Holidays!

10. Adjournment

a. @11:53PM



RUSA Bill F18-9

Authors: Ilce Perez, Julieline Serrano, George Zapata

Sponsor: Executive Committee

Resolution for RUSA to Sign University of Pittsburgh's Open Letter Calling for Stricter Regulations on the Sale of Weapons

Whereas, an AR-15 and three handguns were used to murder eleven people at the Tree of Life Synagogue in Squirrel Hill, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and¹

Whereas, a total of 323 mass shooting incidents have occurred in 2018 as of November 28, 2018; and²

Whereas, weapon sales made at gun shows are not required to comply with federal background check requirements; and³

Whereas, the Murphy administration has committed to toughening gun safety laws in New Jersey; and⁴

Whereas, the University of Pittsburgh Student Governing Board has written an open letter calling upon our representatives and lawmakers to enact common-sense gun legislation; and⁵

Whereas, student governments from universities across the country are coming together in support of this letter.⁶

¹ <https://pittsburgh.cbslocal.com/2018/10/27/heavy-police-presence-near-synagogue-in-squirrel-hill/>

² See <https://www.gunviolencearchive.org/reports/mass-shooting>. "Mass" is defined as four or more people shot or killed in an incident.

³ <https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-bill/1612>

⁴ <https://www.murphy4nj.com/issues/getting-tough-on-gun-violence/>

⁵ <https://docs.google.com/document/d/16axsrTuY4zvKvWTXTgD4dyrPHs4vyypAXVTKI2DN6gw/edit>

⁶ *Ibid.*

Be it hereby resolved, the Rutgers University Student Assembly (RUSA) will sign the University of Pittsburgh Student Governing Board’s open letter in support of common-sense gun legislation; and

Be it hereby resolved, RUSA supports the expansion of background check requirements, the closure of the gun show loophole through the revision of the Gun Show Loophole Closing Act of 2017, and the immediate federal banning of bump stocks and any other device that allows for legal weapons to become illegal.

RUSA President

Date



RUSA Bill F18-10

Authors: Nicholas Pellitta, *RUSA Allocations Board Chairperson*, Priti Kantesaria, *RUSA Treasurer*

Sponsor: RUSA Executive Board, RUSA Allocations Board

Bill to Amend the RUSA Allocations Process Transparency Act

Whereas, the Rutgers University Student Assembly (RUSA) Allocations Board has researched the practices used by the funding bodies of the other Big Ten institution student governments; and

Whereas, the results of that research were presented to the Assembly and published as the RUSA Allocations Board Alternative Funding Methods report; and

Whereas, based on the findings of that report, the RUSA Internal Affairs Committee developed the Allocations Surrogate Act to empower the Allocations Board to more effectively serve student organizations; and

Whereas, the Allocations Board is also revising its auditing methods to better monitor the use of student funds; and

Whereas, the Allocations Board believes that these changes are positive steps towards increasing the transparency of the Board's operations and improving its relationships with student organizations; and

Whereas, Section 5 of the RUSA Allocations Process Transparency Act (RAPTA) would compel the Board to publish a wide range of confidential documents that could compromise the effectiveness of the current budgeting process;

Be it hereby enacted that Section 5 of RAPTA be repealed in its entirety; and

Be it hereby resolved that the RUSA Allocations Board shall publish a full explanation of its budgeting process on the organization’s website and all future budget application forms, which shall explain the budgeting process in its entirety, but shall not necessarily include specific documents, numeric amounts, and/or formulas used by the Board during its deliberations.

RUSA President

Date



RUSA Resolution F18-10

Authors: Emily Kane, Ash Krishna, Ilce Perez, Julieline Serrano

Sponsors: Public Relations Committee

Resolution to Support and Promote the University Locker Initiative

Whereas, 56% of the undergraduate population at Rutgers University commute;⁷

Whereas, free lockers are available for students in the College Ave and Douglass Student Centers;⁸

Whereas, commuter students have expressed a need for lockers on a larger variety of campuses;

Whereas, the Institutional Planning and Operations Office and Student Centers and Activities Office have committed to begin implementing lockers on all campuses by the Fall 2019 semester; and

Whereas, the Student Centers and Activities team hopes to create additional lounge spaces around the lockers;

Whereas, lockers and lounge spaces will be available to all members of the Rutgers community; and

Whereas, the Rutgers University Student Assembly (RUSA) has the resources to promote and publicize such university lockers;

Be it hereby resolved, that RUSA supports the implementation of lockers across all campuses; and

Be it hereby resolved, RUSA commits to promote and publicize the university locker initiative in efforts to spread awareness to this new resource.

⁷<https://news.rutgers.edu/feature-focus/rutgers-commuters-find-connections-through-campus-group/20120109#.W7aBSxNKigR>

⁸ <http://involvement.rutgers.edu/commuter-resources/>

RUSA President

Date